## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the Examiner's rejection of the above-identified application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks. Claims 17-22 are in the application. Claim 17 has been amended. No new matter has been added.

Applicant submits herewith a new Power of Attorney, appointing Collard & Roe, P.C. to communicate with the PTO on behalf of the Applicant.

The Examiner objected to the drawings, stating that the electronic library was not shown. Applicant submits that the electronic library is shown as element 24 in the drawings, a copy of which is attached.

The Examiner rejected claims 17-22 under 35 U.S.C. §112.

Applicant has amended claim 17 to further clarify the invention.

It is now submitted that claims 17-22 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112.

The Examiner rejected claim 17-22 under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting, over United States Patent

No. 6,227,863 Bl. Applicant submits herewith a terminal disclaimer, disclaiming any portion of the term of the patent issuing on this application, that would extend beyond the term of U.S. Patent No. 6,227,863 B1.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submits that the application is now in condition for allowance. Early allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

> Respectfully submitted, DONALD SPECTOR (D-4)

> Attorneys for Applicant

Alli/son C. Collard, Reg. No. 22, 532

Elizabeth Collard Richter, Reg.No.35,103

Edward R. Freedman, Reg. No. 26,048

COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, New York 11576

(516) 365-9802

ECR:jc

Enclosure: New Power of Attorney

Terminal Disclaimer and Check for \$55.00

Copy of FIG. 1

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on June 9, 2004.

Maria Guastella